# **Active Sites in Zeolites**

# **III. Selective Poisoning of Bronsted Sites on Synthetic Y Zeolites**

P. A. JACOBS **AND C.** F. HEYLEN

Centrum voor *Oppervlaktescheikunde en Colloidale Scheikunde, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, De Croylaan 42, B-3030 Heverlee, Belgium* 

#### Received January 22, 1974

2,6\_Dimethylpyridine and pyridine adsorption on CaY, HY and NaY eeolites is followed by quantitative infrared spectroscopy. 2,6-Dimethylpyridine reacts selectively with the hydroxyl groups. After adsorption of the base at temperatures from 25 up to 469°C the OH groups are titrated first and only thereafter the Lewis sites interact with the base. The selectivity of pyridine towards Bronsted and Lewis sites is much less pronounced. Infrared identification of the ion or of the Lewis bound base was made through the frequency shift of the  $\nu_{86}$  and  $\nu_{86}$  vibrations.

Poisoning with 2,6-dimethylpyridine of the cumene cracking activity of the  $HY$ samples amounts to an upper limit of active sites of  $1.8 \times 10^{20}$  per gram. This number is much lower than the amount titrated with pyridine.

cules as pyridine, quinoline, ammonia and  $\frac{100800 \text{ m}}{100 \text{ s}}$  the activity of the zeolite is equal<br>alinhatic amines have been widely used to the density of supercages. A single molealiphatic amines have been widely used to  $\frac{100 \text{ m} \text{m}}{20 \text{ m}}$  of supercages. A single moledetermine the number of sites responsible cule of quinoline per supercage is able to<br>for the exploring particular on gracking block cumene from the active sites, whatfor the carboniogenic activity on cracking catalysts.<br>
The requirements of a good poison for Pyridine adsorption at 150°C followed

The requirements of a good poison for acid-catalyzed reactions are that the basic by infrared spectroscopy is generally used<br>molecules neutralize the scat of catalytic as a quantitative measurement of both the molecules neutralize the seat of catalytic as a quantitative measurement of both the<br>activity under reaction conditions in a Lewis and Brønsted site concentration (4, activity under reaction conditions in a

pointed out that for the cumene dealkylation reaction, the amount of quinoline amount of poison agrees with the hydroxyl<br>needed to poison the activity agreed well group concentration if no Lewis sites are needed to poison the activity agreed well group concentration if no Lewis sites are<br>with the number of hydroxyl groups. The Present. If both types of sites are present with the number of hydroxyl groups. The Present. If both types of sites are present<br>same authors claim that at 325°C quinoline simultaneously, pyridine does not titrate same authors claim that at 325°C quinoline simultaneously, pyridine does not titrate<br>does not react with Lewis sites but only them in a selective way. Although on hydoes not react with Lewis sites but only them in a selective way. Although on hy-<br>with Brønsted sites, Boreskova, Tonchieva, drogen Y zeolites the agreement between with Brønsted sites. Boreskova, Topchieva drogen Y zeolites the agreement between<br>and Piguzova (2) described similar poison- the amount of poison and hydroxyl groups and Piguzova (2) described similar poison- the amount of poison and hydroxyl groups<br>ing experiments and have found that the Was good, data from other techniques ining experiments and have found that the was good, data from other techniques in-<br>amount of quinoline exceeds several times dicated that possibly only a small fraction amount of quinoline exceeds several times dicated that possibly only a small fraction<br>the number of Al atoms and therefore the of the hydroxyl groups act as active centers the number of Al atoms and therefore the hydroxyl group concentration. On the other and pyridine does not react as a selective hand, Goldstein and Morgan (3) reported poison. that quinoline does indeed poison the ac- Recently Benesi (7) showed that chemi-

**INTRODUCTION** catalyzed cracking of cumene. However, Poisoning experiments with basic mole-<br>less as pyridine quinoline ammonia and poison the activity of the zeolite is equal

quantitative and selective way.<br>
On zeolites Turkeyich and Ono (1) have using pyridine as a poison for the cumene On zeolites, Turkevich and Ono  $(1)$  have using pyridine as a poison for the cumene<br>inted out that for the cumene dealkyla- cracking activity on zeolites, found that the

tivity of molecular sieves Y for the acid- sorption measurements of sterically hind-

Copyright  $\odot$  1974 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved.

ered amines have potential utility as an index of catalytic activity on acidic solids. On alumina, the amount of chemisorbed pyridine exceeds that of 2,6-dimethylpyridine, while the opposite is true for silica-alumina. 2,6-Dimethylpyridine appears to show reasonable degree of specificity for proton acidity at 400°C. Knözinger and Stolz  $(8)$  on alumina showed by infrared spectroscopy that the surface bond to Lewis acid sites of 4-methylpyridine is stronger than that of pyridine because of its higher basicity. 2,4,6-Trimethylpyridine despite its higher basicity is more weakly bound than pyridine due to steric hindrance between reagent and adsorbent. In view of these results, the sterically hindered pyridines seem to react more specifically with protons, compared to the commonly used poisons.

In this work, the specificity of 2,6 dimethylpyridine for protons in the presence of Lewis sites and its possible use as a selective poison for acid-catalyzed reactions is investigated.

# EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

# Materials

Linde NaY zeolite was exchanged with  $0.1 N$  NH<sub>4</sub>Cl or CaCl<sub>2</sub> solutions. After the necessary washings the samples were dried at ambient temperature. The NH,Y and CaY samples were exchanged to 70 and 90%) respectively.

Pyridine (Py) and 2,6-dimethylpyridine (2,6-DMPy) from Fluka were Uvasol grade. They were degassed under vacuum by the pump-freeze-thaw technique before being passed over a drying train of activated molecular sieve 4A. Cumene was from Fluka and was distilled under vacuum before use and stored over molecular sieve 4A.

### Equipment

A pulse microcatalytic reactor equipped with catharometer detection was used  $(6)$ . Chemisorption measurements were carried out by injection of 0.1  $\mu$ l pulses of the base into the carrier gas stream until the peak area of the reactant desorbing from the catalyst remained constant.

Poisoning experiments were carried out by alternating 5  $\mu$  pulses of poison with  $50 \mu l$  pulses of cumene.

The infrared spectra were scanned on a Beckman IR12 spectrometer in conditions suitable for quantitative measurements (9). Apparent integrated absorption intensities for several bands of the adsorbed species were calculated from the integrated form of Beer's law as described elsewhere (10). The method used for the separation of overlapping bands was similar to the one described by Hughes and White  $(12)$ .

### Procedure

In the pulse microreactor 300 mg of catalyst was used with particle size between 30 and 60 mesh. The samples were activated under a flow of dry helium, the sample temperature being raised very slowly  $(6)$ .

Infrared platelets were degassed under vacuum and heated slowly to the temperature of the final activation. The sample temperature is known accurately by means of a thermocouple in close contact with the platelets. Room temperature refers to 25°C.

The samples derived from NH,Y are denoted by HY followed by the temperature of activation. NaY and CaY samples are denoted in the same way.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Assignment of Bands in the 2000-  $1200$  cm<sup>-1</sup> Region

Since pyridine (Py) and 2,6-dimethylpyridine (2,6-DMPy) belong to the same symmetry point group  $C_{2v}$ , the same normal vibrations may be expected to appear in the adsorbed state. In Fig. 1, Py and 2,6-DMPy are adsorbed on a  $NaY-400$  sample. In Fig. 2, increasing amounts of 2,6-DMPy are adsorbed on zeolite HY-400 (spectrum a, b, c). Comparable amounts of pyridine are adsorbed on the same sample (spectrum d) . The adsorbed species on NaY-400 are denoted as Lewis bound  $(Py: L$  or  $2,6$ -DMPy:L). The adsorbate interacts only with the residual Na<sup>+</sup> ions, since the samples are far from saturation. On sample HY-400



FIG. 1. Infrared spectra of 2,6-DMPy and Py adsorbed at room temperature on NaY-400 (film thickness, 3.5 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>). Background (a); addition of 0.2 (b) and 0.5  $\mu$ mole 2,6-DMPy (c); addition of 0.5  $\mu$ mole Py (d).



FIG. 2. Infrared spectra of 2,6-DMPy and Py adsorbed on HY-400 (film thickness, 3.5 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>). Background (a); adsorption of 0.2 (b) and 0.5  $\mu$ mole 2,6-DMPy (c) at room temperature; adsorption of 0.5 umole Py(d) at room temperature; adsorption of 50 umole 2,6-DMPy (e) at 400°C, followed by outgassing at 400°C.

<b>Vibrational</b> modes	Liquid $2,6-$ DMPy	$2,4,6$ -TMPy on alumina [from Ref. (8)	$2.6 -$ $DMPyH+$	$2,6-$ DMPy: L	P <sub>y</sub> liquid	$PyH^+$	Pv:L
8a; $\nu_{ce(N)}(A_1)$	$1605 \text{ m}$	1634	1650 s	1603 s	1579 s	1655 s	$1595 \text{ vs.}$
8b; $\nu_{cc(N)}(B_1)$	1580 s	1566	1630 s	1580 s	$1572 \text{ m}$	1627 s	$1575 \text{ m}$
19 <i>a</i> ; $\nu_{ce(N)}(A_1)$	1480 s	1500	1473 s	1477 s	1478 s	$1490$ vs	1490 s
$\delta_{as}$ CH <sub>3</sub>	1455 m	1455	1452 s	1455 s			
19 <i>b</i> ; $\nu_{cc(N)}(B_1)$	1410 w	1415	1415 w	1410 w	$1439$ vs	$1550 \text{ m}$	$(1455 - 1442)$ vs
$\delta_{a}CH_{a}$	$1375$ w	1383	$1385 \text{ m}$	1380 m			
$9b (B_1) \delta(CNH^+)$			$1277$ m			$1275 \text{ w}$	
$9a (A_1)$	$1230 \text{ w}$	1237	$1235 \text{ w}$	$1235 \text{ w}$		$1235$ vw	$1227 \text{ w}$

TABLE 1 ASSIGNMENT OF VIBRATIONAL MODES OF 2.6-DMPY AND PY ADSORBED ON ZEOLITES<sup>®</sup>

 $\alpha$  w = weak; m = medium; s = strong; vs = very strong.

only PyH+ and 2,6-DMPyH+ ions are formed through interaction with hydroxyl groups. In Table 1, the frequencies of the ring vibrations  $(v_{8a}, v_{8b}, v_{19a}, v_{19b}, v_{9a}, v_{9b})$ are compared for Py and 2,6-DMPy in the liquid phase and adsorbed on Lewis and Brønsted sites of zeolite Y. Differences in frequencies and band intensities in the spectrum of Py and 2,6-DMPy in the liquid phase are due to charge disturbances in the six-ring by the electron donating effect of the substituents (11).

Compared to the frequencies in the liquid phase, 2,6-DMPy:L shows no frequency shift. This is not true for  $Py: L$ . The higher frequencies of Py :L compared to Py in the liquid phase indicate charge disturbance in the ring and therefore a stronger interaction with the Lewis sites. The vibration 8a, 8b,  $9a$ , and  $9b$  for PyH<sup>+</sup> and 2,6-DMPyH<sup>+</sup> are around the same frequencies (Table 1). The marked difference in the spectral behavior for both ions is in the frequency of  $v_{19b}$ . In Py, this vibration is very sensitive towards coordination (1550 cm<sup>-1</sup> in PyH<sup>+</sup> and 1455 in  $Py: L$ ), while in  $2,6-DMPy$  it is frequency invariant  $(1410-1415 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ .

The spectra of Figs. 1 and 2 and the data of Table 1, show that Lewis bound 2,6- DMPy can be distinguished spectroscopically from 2,6-DMPyH+ as is the case for Py :L and PyH+. Bands characteristic for 2,6-DMPy: L are at 1603 and 1580  $cm^{-1}$ . Bands at 1650, 1630 and 1275 cm-l are characteristic for 2,6-DMPyH+ and PyH'.

# Intensities of the Vibrational Modes

The apparent integrated intensities of  $v_{sa}$ and  $v_{sb}$  of adsorbed Py and 2,6-DMPy are shown in Table 2. Both bands can be used as quantitative indices of Lewis or Brønsted bound adsorbate. Both vibrational modes show always a higher intensity for adsorbed Py than for adsorbed 2,6-DMPy. For the calculation of the apparent integrated intensities, it was checked that the amount of adsorbate used was adsorbed without building up any residual pressure. From these data we calculated the minimal amount of 2,6-DMPy needed to obtain a spectrum

TABLE 2 APPARENT INTEGRATED INTENSITIES OF INFRARED BANDS OF ADSORBED 2.6-DMPV AND PV

Adsorbate	Wave- num- ber $\rm (cm^{-1})$	As- sign- ment	Adsorb- ent	Apparent integrated intensity (cm $\mu$ mole <sup>-1</sup> ) $(\pm 0.2)$
$2.6$ -DMPy	1603	8a	<b>NaY-400</b>	3.0
Рv	1595	8а	$NaY-400$	4.6
$2.6$ -DMPv	1650	8а	$HY-400$	7.8
Pv	1655	8а	$HY-400$	12.0
$Pv^a$	1620	8b	HY-300	12.9
$2.6$ -DMP <sub>v</sub>	1627	8b	<b>HY-400</b>	10.0
$2,6$ -DMPy	3640	$v_{\rm OH}$	$HY-400$	5.18
$NH_{3}^b$	3640	$\nu$ OH	<b>HY-400</b>	5.30

a From Ref. (12).

 $b$  From Ref. (10).

tion. For 2,6-DMPy:L and 2,6-DMPyH<sup>+</sup> that NH<sub>3</sub> molecules react quantitatively<br>these amounts are, respectively,  $3 \times 10^{18}$  with the 3640 cm<sup>-1</sup> hydroxyls. Since the these amounts are, respectively,  $3 \times 10^{18}$  with the 3640 cm<sup>-1</sup> hydroxyls. Since the and  $1 \times 10^{18}$  molecules  $g^{-1}$  and correspond values of the apparent integrated intensities and  $1 \times 10^{18}$  molecules  $g^{-1}$  and correspond values of the apparent integrated intensities<br>to the sensitivity of titration method. of the 3640 cm<sup>-1</sup> band for both adsorbates

temperature adsorption of 2,6-DMPy on straightforward, at least under the experi-<br>HY-400, the 3640 cm<sup>-1</sup> hydroxyl band dis- mental conditions used, that also in the appears in a selective way. Only after adsorption at high temperatures  $(400^{\circ}C)$  has the hydroxyl band at  $3550 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  decreased way. in intensity, probably due to migration of protons out of the sodalite cages and cap-<br>ture Adsorption of 2,6-DMPy<br>ture by the absorbate molecules. The in-<br>In Fig. 3, increasing amounts ture by the absorbate molecules. The in-<br>tegrated absorption intensity of the 3640 DMPy are adsorbed at room temperature tegrated absorption intensity of the 3640 DMPy are adsorbed at room temperature<br>cm<sup>-1</sup> band after room temperature adsorp- on HY-600. Pretreatment of the original cm<sup>-1</sup> band after room temperature adsorp- on HY-600. Pretreatment of the original tion of 2,6-DMPy is also given in Table 2 NH<sub>4</sub>Y sample *in vacuo* at 600°C causes and compared with its capability for am- considerable dehydroxylation of the sample monium ion formation at room temperature. (6) with the formation of trigonal coordi-

that can be used for quantitative interpreta- In previous work  $(10)$  it was concluded the sensitivity of titration method. of the  $3640 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  band for both adsorbates As shown in Fig. 2, a, b, c, upon room are close together, the conclusion seems are close together, the conclusion seems mental conditions used, that also in the case of  $2.6$ -DMPy the  $3640 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  hydroxyls form  $2.6$ -DMPyH<sup>+</sup> ions in a stoichiometric

 $NH<sub>4</sub>Y$  sample in vacuo at 600°C causes



FIG. 3. Infrared spectra of 2,6-DMPy adsorbed on HY-600 (film thickness, 5 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>). Background (a); room temperature adsorption of 0.18 (b); 0.28 (c), 0.50 (d), 0.98 (e)  $\mu$ mole 2,6-DMPy, followed by outgassing at 200 (f) and 400°C (g); adsorption of 50  $\mu$ mole 2,6-DMPy at 400°C followed by outgassing at 400°C (h).

nated Al atoms acting as Lewis sites. In spectrum b and c, the bands at 1650, 1630 and 1277 cm<sup>-1</sup> indicate increasing amounts of 2,6-DMPyH+ formed. Only after the third introduction (d) do bands specific for 2,6-DMPy:L appear at 1603 and 1580 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Further introduction of base (spectrum e) results only in the increase of the amount of Lewis bound 2,6-DMPy. The amount of ion formed after the second introduction (c) does not change significantly upon further addition of adsorbate. It seems that at room temperature, sufficiently small amounts of 2,6-DMPy neutralize first the hydroxyl groups and then start to neutralize the Lewis sites formed by dehydroxylation. The thermal stability of the two adsorbed species is illustrated in spectra f, g, h. After outgassing at 2OO"C, part of the 2,6- DMPy: L species have disappeared (f). At 400°C no residual Lewis bound base remains on the zeolite surface  $(g)$ . The intensity of the bands attributable to 2,6- DMPyH+ does not change even after outgassing at 400°C. Adding an excess of base at 400°C (h), followed by outgassing at the same temperature, results in the formaof more ions. This can be explained by the migration of residual protons contained in the 3550 cm<sup>-1</sup> band towards the supercages. These results clearly show that from room temperature up to 400°C 2,6-DMPy can be used to poison the hydroxyl groups selertively in the presence of Lewis sites.

In Fig. 4 the selectivity of adsorption of 2,6-DMPy and Py on Cay-400 is illustrated. The  $Ca^{2+}$  ions exposed to the supercages and the  $3640 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  OH groups formed by dissociation of cation hydration water (13-15) possibly interact with adsorbed base. Increasing amounts of 2,6-DMPy in doses of about  $8 \times 10^{18}$  molecules  $g^{-1}$  added to Cay-400 at room temperature show that the hydroxyl groups are titrated in a selective way (spectra a, b, c) as shown by the appearance of bands at 1650 and 1630 cm-'. Only upon further addition of base, increasing amounts of 2,6-DMPy :L are formed (d, e). Desorption at 200 and  $400^{\circ}$ C (f, g) shows that the species held by Lewis sites  $(Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions)$  are thermally more stable compared to the sample HY-600. Spectrum h



FIG. 4. Infrared spectra of 2,6-DMPy and Py adsorbed on  $CaY-400$  (film thickness, 3.5 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>). Background (a), adsorption at room temperature of 0.13 (b), 0.20 (c), 0.33 (d), 50 (e),  $\mu$ mole 2,6-DMPy followed by outgassing at 200 (f), and  $400^{\circ}C$  (g). Adsorption of  $0.2$  (h), and  $50$  (i),  $\mu$ mole Py at room temperature followed by outgassing at 400°C (j).

shows adsorbed Py on the same sample. The amount of base added corresponds to spectrum c. Assignment of the different peaks shows the presence of both PyH+ and Py :L. After addition of more pyridine the amount of PyH<sup>+</sup> and Py: L increases (i). After desorption at 400°C (j) the amount of PyH+ has decreased, and the amount of Py :L adsorbed remains unaltered. This is in contrast to the behavior after adsorption of 2,6-DMPy.

The data of Fig. 4 show that 2,6-DMPy reacts in a selective way with the hydroxyl groups. Only after neutralization of these



Sample	$2.6-DMPy$	$P_{V}^{a}$	Sum $(2,6-DMPy)$ $+2(Pv)$
$HY-400$	6.5	1.8	10.1
$HY-600$	1.9	3.1	8.1
$CaY-400$	44		

a The amount of Py chemisorbed afterwards, when the sample would no longer chemisorb 2,6-DMPy.

groups is a coordinative bond with the  $Ca^{2+}$ ions formed. Pyridine molecules adsorbed in the same conditions do not react in the same way. The Lewis held Py molecules are thermally more stable than the pyridinium ions.

In Table 3, 2,6-DMPy and Py were adsorbed at 400°C under reaction conditions on the zeolite samples indicated. In the pulse microcatalytic reactor, the amount of base chemisorbed is measured. The data are shown in Table 3 (column 2). At the moment that 2,6-DMPy molecules remain no longer chemisorbed on the zcolites, further addition of Py shows that a considerable amount of this species remains on the zcolite (Table 3, column 3). Infrared measurements on the same samples show that the first base is adsorbed as 2,6- DMPyH+. After successive adsorption of Py only Py :L species are formed. This is further proved by the fact that the amount of 2,6-DMPyH+ plus twice the amount of Py :L reaches a constant value (Table 3, column 4) on samples HY-400 and HY-600. Indeed, each Lewis site formed results from the condensation of two hydroxyl groups  $(13).$ 

#### Active Sites for Cumene Cracking

The high selectivity of 2,6-DMPy for Brgnsted sites has been demonstrated satisfactorily in previous sections. The action of such a poison on a Brensted site catalyzed reaction, such as the cumene dealkylation, should enable us to evaluate an upper limit

TABLE 4 ACTIVE SITES FOR CUMENE CRACKING AS TITRATED WITH PY AND 2,6-DMPY  $\frac{1}{2}$  (sites g-1  $\times$  10-20)

$(SIIES E \cdot X IU \cdot y)$				
$2,6$ -DMP <sub>y</sub>	$P_{V}$			
1.86	10.0			
1.80	6.3			

for the number of active sites. In the conditions  $(6)$  used for the chemisorption measurements cumene and poison molecules are injected alternatively. The amount of base used to reduce the cumene dealkylation activity to zero is given in Table 4 for the poisons 2,6-DMPy and Py. The amount of 2,6-DMPy used is equal for samples HY- $400$  and HY-600 and two orders of magnitude lower than the number of Al atoms in the zeolite structure. The amount of Py used is lower on HY-600 and considerably higher than the amount of 2,6-DMPy used.

#### **CONCLUSION**

The higher basicity of 2,6-dimethylpyridine compared to pyridine results in the formation of stronger ions on the zeolite surface. Due to the steric effects of the  $\text{CH}_3$ groups in positions 2 and 6, weaker bonds are formed with the Lewis sites in the zeolite. Typical Lewis sites investigated are trigonal coordinated Al atoms, and compensating cations as  $Na^+$  and  $Ca^{2+}$ , embedded partially in the zeolite six and four-rings. The 2,6-DMPy molecules show a high selectivity towards Br@nsted sites in the presence of Lewis sites. The position and intensity of the vibrations  $v_{8a}$  and  $v_{8b}$  in the case of Brønsted or Lewis bound molecules can be used to follow quantitatively the nature of the acid sites. The sensitivity of the method is better than  $3 \times 10^{18}$  molecules g-l.

The number of active sites in the cumene dealkylation reaction measured with Py is much higher than with 2,6-DMPy. On HY samples the number of active sites titrated with 2,6-DMPy is about  $1.8 \times 10^{20}$  molecules  $g^{-1}$  and does not depend on the pretreatment temperature of the sample.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

P.A.J. acknowledges a grant as "Aangesteld Navorser," from the National Science Foundation (N.F.W.O., Belgium). C.F.H. acknowledges a grant from I.W.O.N.L. (Belgium). Financial support from the Belgian Government (Programmatic van het Wetenschapsbeleid) is also acknowledged. Helpful discussions with Professor J. B. Uytterhoeven are appreciated.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. TURKEVICH, J., AND ONO, Y., Advan. Chem. Ser. 102, 315 (1971).
- 2. BORESKOVA, E. G., TOPCHIEVA, K. V., AND PIGUZOVA, L. I., Kinet. Catal. 5, 792 (1964).
- 3. GOLDSTEIN, M. S., AND MORQAN, T. R., J. Catal. 16, 232 (1970).
- 4. BASILA, M. R., Appl. Spectrosc. Rev. 1, 289 (1968).
- 5. WARD, J. W., Advan. Chem. Ser. 101, 381 (1971).
- 6. JACOBS, P. A., LEEMAN, H., AND UYTTERHOEVEN, J. B., J. Catal. 33, 17 (1974).
- 7. BENESI, H. A., J. Catal. 28, 176 (1973).
- 8. KNÖZINGER, H., AND STOLZ, H., Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 75, 1055 (1971).
- 9. JACOBS, P. A., AND UYTTERHOEVEN, J. B., JCS  $Faraday I$  69, 359 (1973).
- 10. JACOBS, P. A., THENG, B. K. G., AND UYTTER-HOEVEN, J. B., J. Catal. 26, 191 (1962).
- 11. KATRITZKY, A. R., Quart. Rev. (London) 4, 353 (1959).
- 12. HUGHES, T. R., AND WHITE, H. M., J. Phys. Chem. 71, 2192 (1967).
- 13. WARD, J. W., Advan. Chem. Ser. 101, 380 (1971).
- 14. JACOBS, P. A., AND UYTTERHOEVEN, J. B., JCS  $Faraday I$  69, 373 (1973).
- 15. UYTTERHOEVEN, J. B., SCHOONHEYDT, R., LIENGME, B. V., AND HALL, W. K., J. Catal. 13, 425 (1969).